Downlink Achievable Rate Analysis in Massive MIMO Systems with One-Bit DACs

Yongzhi Li, Cheng Tao, *Member, IEEE,* A. Lee Swindlehurst, *Fellow, IEEE*
Amine Mezghani, and Liu Liu, *Member, IEEE,*

arXiv:1610.09630v1 [cs.IT] 30 Oct 2016

***Abstract*—In this letter, we investigate the downlink performance of massive multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) systems where the base station is equipped with one-bit analog-to-digital/digital-to-analog converters (ADC/DACs). Considering training-based transmission, we assume the base station (BS) employs the linear minimum mean-squared-error (LMMSE) channel estimator and treats the channel estimate as the true channel to precode the data symbols. We derive an expression for the downlink achievable rate for matched-filter (MF) precoding. A detailed analysis of the resulting power efficiency is pursued using our expression of the achievable rate. Numerical results are presented to verify our analysis. In particular it is shown that, compared with conventional massive MIMO systems, the performance loss in one-bit massive MIMO systems can be compensated for by deploying 2.5 times more antennas at the BS.**

***Index Terms*—Massive MIMO, one-bit DACs, downlink rate, MF precoding.**

I. Introduction

Massive MIMO is an emerging technology capable of scaling up the performance of conventional MIMO by orders of magnitude [1]. It has been shown that, with a base station (BS) equipped with a very large number of antennas, not only can the spectral efficiency and energy efficiency be significantly improved by employing simple linear signal processing techniques, but also the impact of imperfections in the hardware implementation can be mitigated [2], [3].

Most prior work has assumed that each antenna element in the massive MIMO system is equipped with a costly high-resolution digital-to-analog converter (DAC), and hence has neglected the nonlinear effect of the quantization. The cost of using high-resolution DACs is bearable in conventional MIMO systems since the number of antennas is moderate. For massive MIMO configurations employing large antenna arrays and many ADCs/DACs, however, the cost and power consumption will be prohibitive.

The use of one-bit DACs has been proposed as a potential solution to this problem [4], [5]. However, there has been limited prior work evaluating the performance of the communication systems with one-bit DACs [6]–[10]. The impact of one-bit quantization on the performance of linear precoding was studied in [9] and it was shown that the signal-to-quantization-and-interference ratio at the receivers grows approximately linearly with the ratio of the number of antennas to the number of users. In [10], a new precoding technique was proposed to mitigate the inter-user-interference and the channel distortions in a 1-bit downlink MISO system with QPSK symbols. However, these references assumed perfect channel state information (CSI) known at the BS and ignored the channel estimation error. In this paper, motivated by our recent work in [11], we consider a downlink massive MIMO system with one-bit DACs on each transmit antenna and evaluate the downlink achievable rate for matched-filter (MF) precoding by taking the imperfect CSI into account. Based on the Bussgang decomposition, we first derive a closed-form expression for the downlink achievable rate for MF precoding. Based on the obtained expression, we then perform a detailed analysis of the system performance. It is shown that, compared with conventional massive MIMO, the performance loss in the one-bit massive MIMO systems can be compensated for by deploying 2.5 times more antennas at the BS.

II. System Model

In this paper, we consider a downlink single-cell one-bit massive MIMO system with K single-antenna terminals and an M-antenna BS. As depicted in Fig. 1, the BS is assumed to first apply an $M \times K$ linear precoder $\mathbf{W}$ to the vector $\mathbf{s}$ whose elements represent the symbols for each of the K users. Then the DACs separately quantize the real and imaginary parts of the precoded signal using a single bit; i.e., only the sign of the real and imaginary part of the signal is retained. Thus, the quantized transmit signal can be expressed as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbf{x}\right) = \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{s}\right), \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{Q}(.)$ is the one-bit quantization function, $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^{M\times 1}$ represents the precoded signal, and the data symbols $\mathbf{s}$ are assumed to satisfy $\mathrm{E}\{\mathbf{s}\mathbf{s}^H\} = \mathbf{I}$. In this paper, in order to

Y. Li, C. Tao, and L. Liu are with the Institute of Broadband Wireless Mobile Communications, School of Electronic and Information Engineering, Beijing Jiaotong University, Beijing, 100044, China (email: liyongzhi@bjtu.edu.cn; chtao@bjtu.edu.cn; liuliu@bjtu.edu.cn).

A. Mezghani and A. L. Swindlehurst are with the Center for Pervasive Communications and Computing, University of California, Irvine, CA 92697 USA (e-mail: amezghan@uci.edu; swindle@uci.edu). A. L. Swindlehurst is also a Hans Fischer Senior Fellow of the Institute for Advanced Study at the Technical University of Munich.

The research was supported in part by the National 863 Project Granted No. 2014AA01A706, Beijing Natural Science Foundation project Grant No. 2016023, Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities under grant 2015JBM011, the NSFC project under grant No. 61471027, the Research Fund of National Mobile Communications Research Laboratory, Southeast University No. 2014D05, and Beijing Natural Science Foundation project under grant No.4152043. A. Swindlehurst was supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant ECCS-1547155, and by the Technische Universität München Institute for Advanced Study, funded by the German Excellence Initiative and the European Union Seventh Framework Programme under grant agreement No. 291763, and by the European Union under the Marie Curie COFUND Program.



Fig. 1. System architecture of the downlink one-bit massive MIMO system.

normalize the power of the output, we assume the quantized output falls in the set $\mathcal{Y} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\{1+1j, 1-1j, -1+1j, -1-1j\}$. Then the received signal at the K users is

$$\mathbf{r}_d = \gamma \mathbf{H}^T \mathbf{y} + \mathbf{n}_d = \gamma \mathbf{H}^T \mathcal{Q}\left(\mathbf{W}\mathbf{s}\right) + \mathbf{n}_d, \tag{2}$$

where $\mathbf{H}$ is the $M \times K$ channel matrix between the K users and the BS, $\mathbf{n}_d \sim \mathcal{CN}(0, \mathbf{I}) \in \mathbb{C}^{K\times 1}$ is additive white Gaussian noise, and γ is a normalization parameter chosen to satisfy a long term total transmit power constraint P_t at the BS, i.e., $\mathrm{E}\{\|\gamma \mathbf{y}\|_2^2\} = P_t$. Note that, owing to the one-bit DACs, the elements of the quantized analog signal $\mathbf{y}$ only have four states in $\mathcal{Y}$, which implies $\mathrm{E}\{\|\mathbf{y}\|^2\} = M$. Therefore, we can obtain $\gamma = \sqrt{P_t/M}$.

III. Uplink Channel Estimation and MF Precoding

A. Uplink Training

Assuming training-based transmission, the channel matrix $\mathbf{H}$ is estimated at the BS in the uplink. We assume the K users simultaneously transmit orthogonal pilot sequences to the BS, which we represent as $\mathbf{\Phi} \in \mathbb{C}^{\tau\times K}$, and which thus satisfy $\mathbf{\Phi}^H\mathbf{\Phi} = \tau\mathbf{I}$. Therefore, the received training signal prior to quantization at the BS is [11]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{Y}_p) = \mathbf{y}_p &= \mathrm{vec}\left(\sqrt{\rho_p}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{\Phi}^T + \mathbf{N}_p\right) \\ &= \left(\mathbf{\Phi} \otimes \sqrt{\rho_p}\mathbf{I}_M\right)\underline{\mathbf{h}} + \underline{\mathbf{n}}_p,\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where ρ_p is the transmitted training power of each user, $\underline{\mathbf{h}} = \mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\underline{\mathbf{n}}_p = \mathrm{vec}(\mathbf{N}_p)$.

Although we note that in general, and unlike conventional MIMO systems, the assumption of $\tau = K$ is not in general optimal for one-bit MIMO [11], [12], in the sequel we will assume $\tau = K$ to simplify the analysis. We also note that although the one-bit quantization is a nonlinear operation, we can reformulate it as a statistically equivalent linear operation using the Bussgang decomposition [13]. After one-bit ADCs, the quantized training signal can then be reformulated as [11]

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{r}_p = \mathcal{Q}(\mathbf{y}_p) &= \mathcal{Q}\left(\left(\mathbf{\Phi} \otimes \sqrt{\rho_p}\mathbf{I}_M\right)\underline{\mathbf{h}} + \underline{\mathbf{n}}_p\right) \\ &= \tilde{\mathbf{\Phi}}\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{A}_p\mathbf{n}_p + \mathbf{q}_p,\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{r}_p \in \mathcal{Y}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{\Phi}} = \mathbf{A}_p\left(\mathbf{\Phi} \otimes \sqrt{\rho_p}\mathbf{I}_M\right)$, $\mathbf{A}_p$ is the resulting Bussgang linear operator and $\mathbf{q}_p$ the statistically equivalent quantization noise. Using the linear minimum mean-squared error (LMMSE) approach, the channel estimate is given by [11, Eq. (23)]

$$\hat{\mathbf{h}} = \tilde{\mathbf{\Phi}}^H\mathbf{r}_p \tag{5}$$

with $\mathbf{A}_p = \alpha_p\mathbf{I}$ and $\alpha = \sqrt{2/(\pi(K\rho_p + 1))}$.

Note that each element of $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ can be expressed as a summation of random variables, i.e., $[\hat{\mathbf{h}}]_n = \sum_{i=1}^{MK}[\tilde{\mathbf{\Phi}}^H]_{n,i}r_{p,i}$. Although the channel estimate (5) is in general not distributed as Gaussian due to the quantizer noise, we can approximate it as Gaussian according to Cramér's central limit theorem [14] assuming K is sufficiently large. Therefore, we model each element of the channel estimate $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ as Gaussian with zero mean and variance $\eta^2 = 2K\rho_p/\pi(1+K\rho_p)$ in the rest of the paper.

B. MF Precoding

In the downlink transmission, we assume the BS considers the channel estimate as the true channel and employs matched-filter (MF) precoding to process the data symbols before broadcasting to the K users. The MF precoding matrix is given by $\mathbf{W} = \hat{\mathbf{H}}^*$, and where we also define $\underline{\hat{\mathbf{h}}} = \mathrm{vec}(\hat{\mathbf{H}})$. Then according to the Bussgang decomposition, we reformulate the quantized signal $\mathbf{y}$ in (1) as

$$\mathbf{y}_d = \mathbf{A}_d\hat{\mathbf{H}}^*\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{q}_d, \tag{6}$$

where the same definitions as in the previous sections apply, but replacing the subscript p with d. The matrix $\mathbf{A}_d$ is

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{A}_d &= \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\mathrm{diag}(\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{xx}})^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}}\mathrm{diag}\left(\hat{\mathbf{H}}^*\hat{\mathbf{H}}^T\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\cong \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi K\eta^2}} = \alpha_d\mathbf{I},\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where $\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{xx}}$ is the auto-correlation matrix of $\mathbf{x}$. The approximation is reasonable since we assume $K \gg 1$ and approximate the elements of the channel estimate $\hat{\mathbf{H}}$ as Gaussian distributed with variance η^2.

IV. Downlink Achievable Rate Analysis and Performance Evaluation

A. Downlink Achievable Rate

In this section, we focus on deriving a lower bound for the downlink achievable rate for MF precoding. Combining (2) and (6), the received signal vector at the K users is given by

$$\mathbf{r}_d = \gamma\mathbf{H}^T\left(\mathbf{A}_d\hat{\mathbf{H}}^*\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{q}_d\right) + \mathbf{n}_d. \tag{8}$$

Thus, the received signal at the kth user can be expressed as

$$r_{d,k} = \gamma\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{A}_d\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^*s_k + \gamma\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{A}_d\sum_{i\neq k}^{K}\hat{\mathbf{h}}_i^*s_i + \gamma\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{q}_d + n_{d,k}, \tag{9}$$

where the last three terms in (9) respectively correspond to user interference, quantization noise and AWGN noise.

Note that, owing to the nonlinear quantization of the one-bit DACs, the quantizer noise $\mathbf{q}_d$ is not distributed as Gaussian. However, we can obtain a lower bound on the achievable rate by making the worst-case assumption [15] that in fact it is Gaussian with the same covariance matrix:

$$\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{q}_d\mathbf{q}_d} = \mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{yy}} - \mathbf{A}_d\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{xx}}\mathbf{A}_d^H. \tag{10}$$

Therefore using this approach and (9), a lower bound on the ergodic achievable rate can be defined in (12). In order to obtain a closed-form expression for the achievable rate, we use the same technique from [16]. This technique is widely used in massive MIMO systems since : i) it yields a insightful rate expression, which is basically a lower bound of what can be achieved in practice; ii) it does not require instantaneous

$$R_k = \mathrm{E}\left\{\log_2\left(1+\frac{\gamma^2|\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{A}_d\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^*|^2}{\gamma^2\sum_{i\neq k}^{K}|\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{A}_d\hat{\mathbf{h}}_i^*|^2+\gamma^2\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{C}_{\mathbf{q}_d\mathbf{q}_d}\mathbf{h}_k^*+1}\right)\right\} \tag{12}$$

CSI at the users. Therefore, we first rewrite the received signal of the kth user (9) as a known mean gain times the desired symbol, which depends on the channel distribution instead of the instantaneous channel, plus an effective noise term:

$$\hat{s}_k = \mathrm{E}\left\{\gamma\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{A}_d\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^*\right\}s_k + \tilde{n}_{d,k}, \tag{13}$$

where $\tilde{n}_{d,k}$ is the effective noise

$$\tilde{n}_{d,k} = \left(\gamma\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{A}_d\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^* - \mathrm{E}\left\{\gamma\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{A}_d\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^*\right\}\right)s_k + \gamma\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{A}_d\sum_{i\neq k}^{K}\hat{\mathbf{h}}_i^*s_i + \gamma\mathbf{h}_k^T\mathbf{q}_d + n_{d,k}. \tag{14}$$

Therefore, according to (13) and substituting $\mathbf{A}_d = \alpha_d\mathbf{I}$, we can obtain the achievable rate as

$$R_k = \log_2\left(1+\frac{\alpha_d^2\gamma^2\left|\mathrm{E}\left\{\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^T\mathbf{h}_k^*\right\}\right|^2}{\alpha_d^2\gamma^2\mathrm{Var}\left(\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^T\mathbf{h}_k^*\right)+\mathrm{UI}_k+\mathrm{QN}_k+1}\right), \tag{15}$$

where

$$\mathrm{UI}_k = \alpha_d^2\gamma^2\sum_{i\neq k}^{K}\mathrm{E}\left\{\left|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^T\mathbf{h}_i^*\right|^2\right\}, \tag{16}$$

$$\mathrm{QN}_k = \gamma^2(1-2/\pi)\mathrm{E}\left\{\left\|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^T\right\|^2\right\}. \tag{17}$$

Next we provide a closed-form expression for the achievable rate with MF precoding.

Theorem 1: For MF precoding, with imperfect CSI estimated by the LMMSE channel estimator, the downlink achievable rate of the kth user in a one-bit massive MIMO system is lower bounded by

$$R_k = \log_2\left(1+\frac{4M\rho_p P_t}{\pi^2(1+K\rho_p)(1+P_t)}\right). \tag{18}$$

Proof: See Appendix A. ■

B. Performance Evaluation

1) *Power Efficiency*: We first study power efficiency in the one-bit massive MIMO system.

Case I: If ρ_p is fixed and $P_t = E_t/M$, where E_t is fixed regardless of M, when M increases to infinity, the downlink achievable rate converges to

$$R_k \to \log_2\left(1+\frac{4\rho_p E_t}{\pi^2(1+K\rho_p)}\right). \tag{19}$$

We see that, although the BS is only equipped with one-bit ADC/DACs, the total transmit power of the BS still can be reduced proportionally to $1/M$ while maintaining a given achievable rate when the channel estimation accuracy is fixed.

Case II: If $\rho_p = E_u/\sqrt{M}$ and $P_t = E_t/\sqrt{M}$, where E_u and E_t are fixed regardless of M, when M increases to infinity,



Fig. 2. Sum Rate versus the total transmit power P_t for different number of transmit antennas with $K = 8$ and $\rho_p = 10$dB.

the downlink achievable rate converges to

$$R_k \to \log_2\left(1+\frac{4E_u E_t}{\pi^2}\right). \tag{20}$$

We see that the training power of the users and the total transmit power of the BS cannot be reduced as aggressively as in Case I where the accuracy of the channel estimate is fixed. This is because when we reduce the training power of the users, the channel estimation accuracy will deteriorate. Therefore, we can only scale down ρ_p and P_t proportionally to $1/\sqrt{M}$ in order to maintain a given achievable rate.

2) *Comparison with conventional massive MIMO*: We next compare the downlink achievable rates between one-bit and conventional massive MIMO in terms of the number of antennas deployed at the BS. For the conventional massive MIMO system, we assume the BS employs perfect ADC/DACs with infinite resolution, which do not suffer from quantization loss. For this analysis, we denote the number of antennas in the one-bit and conventional massive MIMO systems as M_{one} and M_{conv}, respectively. The downlink achievable rate in the conventional massive MIMO system is given by [17]

$$R_{k,\text{conv}} = \log_2\left(1+\frac{M_{\text{conv}}\rho_p P_t}{(1+P_t)(1+K\rho_p)}\right). \tag{21}$$

Comparing (18) with (21), we can readily see that the one-bit massive MIMO system can achieve the same performance as conventional massive MIMO by letting $M_{\text{one}} = \pi^2 M_{\text{conv}}/4 \cong 2.5M_{\text{conv}}$, or in other words the one-bit system should deploy 2.5 times more antennas than the conventional system.

V. Numerical Results

For our simulations, we consider a single-cell one-bit massive MIMO downlink with $K = 8$ users. We first evaluate the validity of our closed-form expression for the achievable rate given in Theorem 1. Fig. 2 shows the sum rate versus the total transmit power P_t of the BS for different numbers of transmit


Closed-form Expression
Monte-Carlo Simulation
Case II: $\rho_p = E_u/\sqrt{M}$, $P_t = E_t/\sqrt{M}$
Case I: $\rho_p = 10$dB, $P_t = E_t/M$
Sum Rate (bits/s/Hz)
Number of Transmit Antennas M

Fig. 3. Sum rate versus the number of transmit antennas M with $\rho_p = 10$dB, $P_t = E_t/M$ in Case I, and $\rho_p = E_u/\sqrt{M}$, $P_t = E_t/\sqrt{M}$ in Case II.



Fig. 4. Comparison of the sum rate versus the number of transmit antennas between one-bit massive MIMO system and the typical massive MIMO system with $\rho_p = P_t = 10$dB.

antennas $M = \{32, 64, 128\}$. The dashed lines represent the sum rate obtained numerically from (12), and the solid lines are obtained by using the closed-form expression given in (18). We see that the performance gaps between the Monte-Carlo results and the closed-form results are small. This indicates that our expression is a good predictor of the performance of the one-bit massive MIMO system.

Next we investigate the power efficiency of one-bit massive MIMO for Case I and Case II. Fig. 3 illustrates the sum rate versus the number of transmit antennas M for MF precoding. In Case I, we assume $\rho_p = 10$dB is fixed and $P_t = E_t/M$, where $E_t = 10$dB. In Case II, we choose $\rho_p = E_u/\sqrt{M}$ and $P_t = E_t/\sqrt{M}$ where $E_u = E_t = 10$dB. As predicted in our analysis, the sum rates converge to a fixed constant in both cases. Moreover, in Case II, the total transmit power of the BS cannot be reduced as aggressively as in Case I. This is because in Case II, the channel estimation accuracy deteriorates with the increasing number of transmit antennas, while in Case I, the channel estimation accuracy is fixed as M increases.

Finally we compare the sum rates between the one-bit and conventional massive MIMO systems. Fig. 4 shows the sum rate versus the number of transmit antennas with $\rho_p = P_t = 10$dB. The curves illustrate the fact that 2.5 more antennas are required by the one-bit system in order to achieve the same performance as the conventional system. For example, in order to obtain the achievable rate of 30bits/s/Hz, $M_{\text{one}} = 275$ transmit antennas should be deployed in a one-bit massive MIMO system, compared with 112 for the conventional massive MIMO system. Thus we see how a large number of antennas can be used to compensate for loss of fidelity due to hardware imperfections.

VI. Conclusions

We considered a downlink massive MIMO system with one-bit DACs and derived a closed-form expression for the downlink achievable rate. Employing our obtained expression, we evaluated the power efficiency of such a system and showed that the total transmit power can be reduced by increasing the number of transmit antennas. Moreover, we demonstrated that, with a matched-filter beamformer, the performance loss caused by the one-bit DACs can be compensated for by deploying 2.5 times more antennas at the BS, which confirms the benefit of the massive MIMO technique in overcoming hardware imperfections.

Appendix A

According to Cramér's central limit theorem, the elements of the channel estimate $\hat{\mathbf{h}}$ can be approximated as identically and independently distributed Gaussian random variables with zero mean and variance of η^2. Therefore, according to the law of large numbers, we have

$$\mathrm{E}\{\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \mathbf{h}_k^*\} = \mathrm{E}\{\|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^T\|_2^2\} = M\eta^2 \tag{22}$$

$$\mathrm{Var}\{\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \mathbf{h}_k^*\} = \mathrm{E}\{|\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \mathbf{h}_k^*|^2\} - \left(\mathrm{E}\{\hat{\mathbf{h}}_k^T \mathbf{h}_k^*\}\right)^2 = M\eta^2 \tag{23}$$

$$\mathrm{UI}_k = \alpha_d^2 \gamma M (K-1)\eta^2 \tag{24}$$

Substituting (22)-(24) into (15), Theorem 1 can be obtained.

References

[1] L. Lu, G. Y. Li, A. L. Swindlehurst, A. Ashikhmin, and R. Zhang, "An overview of massive MIMO: Benefits and challenges," *IEEE Journal of Selected Topics in Signal Processing*, vol. 8, no. 5, pp. 742–758, 2014.

[2] H. Q. Ngo, E. Larsson, and T. Marzetta, "Energy and spectral efficiency of very large multiuser MIMO systems," *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, vol. 61, no. 4, pp. 1436–1449, April 2013.

[3] E. Bjornson, M. Matthaiou, and M. Debbah, "Massive MIMO with non-ideal arbitrary arrays: Hardware scaling laws and circuit-aware design," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 14, no. 8, pp. 4353–4368, Aug 2015.

[4] L. Fan, S. Jin, C.-K. Wen, and H. Zhang, "Uplink achievable rate for massive MIMO systems with low-resolution ADC," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 19, no. 12, pp. 2186–2189, Dec 2015.

[5] J. Zhang, L. Dai, S. Sun, and Z. Wang, "On the spectral efficiency of massive MIMO systems with low-resolution ADCs," *IEEE Communications Letters*, vol. 20, no. 5, pp. 842–845, May 2016.

[6] A. Mezghani, R. Ghiat, and J. A. Nossek, "Transmit processing with low resolution D/A-converters," in *16th IEEE International Conference on Electronics, Circuits, and Systems (ICECS)*, Dec 2009, pp. 683–686.

[7] S. Jacobsson, G. Durisi, M. Coldrey, T. Goldstein, and C. Studer, "Quantized precoding for massive MU-MIMO." [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.07564

[8] O. B. Usman, H. Jedda, A. Mezghani, and J. A. Nossek, "MMSE precoder for massive MIMO using 1-bit quantization," in *IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing (ICASSP)*, March 2016, pp. 3381–3385.

[9] A. K. Saxena, I. Fijalkow, and A. L. Swindlehurst, "On one-bit quantized ZF precoding for the multiuser massive MIMO downlink," in *IEEE Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing Workshop (SAM)*, July 2016, pp. 1–5.

[10] H. Jedda, J. A. Nossek, and A. Mezghani, "Minimum BER precoding in 1-bit massive MIMO systems," in *IEEE Sensor Array and Multichannel Signal Processing Workshop (SAM)*, July 2016, pp. 1–5.

[11] Y. Li, C. Tao, L. Liu, A. Mezghani, G. Seco-Granados, and A. Swindlehurst, "Channel estimation and performance analysis in one-bit massive MIMO systems." [Online]. Available: https://arxiv.org/abs/1609.07427

[12] Y. Li, C. Tao, L. Liu, A. Mezghani, and A. Swindlehurst, "How much training is needed in one-bit massive MIMO systems at low SNR?" in *IEEE Global Communications Conference (Globecom)*, Washington, USA, Dec 2016, Accepted.

[13] J. J. Bussgang, "Crosscorrelation functions of amplitude-distorted Gaussian signals," MIT Research Lab. Electronics, Tech. Rep. 216, 1952.

[14] H. Cramér, *Random variables and probability distributions*. Cambridge University Press, 2004, vol. 36.

[15] S. Diggavi and T. Cover, "The worst additive noise under a covariance constraint," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 47, no. 7, pp. 3072–3081, Nov 2001.

[16] J. Jose, A. Ashikhmin, T. Marzetta, and S. Vishwanath, "Pilot contamination and precoding in multi-cell TDD systems," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, vol. 10, no. 8, pp. 2640–2651, August 2011.

[17] H. Q. Ngo, H. Suraweera, M. Matthaiou, and E. Larsson, "Multipair full-duplex relaying with massive arrays and linear processing," *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, vol. 32, no. 9, pp. 1721–1737, Sept 2014.


Symbols
Precoding
1-bit DAC
RF Chain
mth Antenna
Base Station
K Users